

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| 10/776,159 02/12/2004 Youichi Ohsawa 0171-1063P 3759 2292 7590 11/21/2005 EXAMINER BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBI | APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 | 10/776,159 | 02/12/2004 | Youichi Ohsawa | 0171-1063P 3759 | |
| PO BOX 747 | 2292 | 7590 11/21/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| | | WART KOLASCH & | POWERS, FIONA | | |
| | | RCH, VA 22040-0747 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 1626 | · | | | 1626 | |

DATE MAILED: 11/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|---|
| | 10/776,159 | OHSAWA ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Fiona T. Powers | 1626 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E | - action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pro | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 2 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acceedable and acceed applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrections. | election requirement. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | 37 CFR 1.85(a). |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies. | have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No d in this National Stage |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/12/04. | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa | |

Application/Control Number: 10/776,159

Art Unit: 1626

Receipt is acknowledged of the preliminary amendment and information disclosure statement filed February 12, 2004, which have been entered in the file.

Page 2

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re

Art Unit: 1626

Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1 and 3 to 15 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 3 to 15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,689,530. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because there is overlapping subject matter when in the patent the sulfonyldiazomethane compound has the alkoxy group ortho to the sulfonyl group. The claims of the instant application and the patent differ in that the claims of the instant application are a subgenus of the claims of the patent. However, one of ordinary skill in the art would have expected the sulfonyldiazomethane compounds of the instant application to be useful as photoacid generators in resist compositions.

Application/Control Number: 10/776,159

Art Unit: 1626

Claims 1 and 3 to 15 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 3 to 15 of copending Application No. 10/776,291. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because there is overlapping subject matter when in the '291 application for the sulfonyldiazomethane compound, n' is 0 and the alkoxy group ortho to the sulfonyl group. The claims of the instant application and the patent differ in that the claims of the instant application are a subgenus of the claims of the patent. However, one of ordinary skill in the art would have expected the sulfonyldiazomethane compounds of the instant application to be useful as photoacid generators in resist compositions.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim 2 is allowed.

The references made of record and not relied upon show the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fiona T.

Application/Control Number: 10/776,159 Page 5

Art Unit: 1626

Powers whose telephone number is 571-272-0702. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph K. McKane can be reached on 571-272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jiona T. Powers
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1626

ftp November 15, 2005